## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re A	Application of:	)		
Мото	OHISA HIRANO ET AL.	)	A T T *4.	2144
		)	Art Unit:	2144
Applic	cation No. <b>09/815,420</b>	)		
		)	Examiner:	JOSEPH R. MANIWANG
Filed:	MARCH 22, 2001	)		
For:	METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR	)		
		,	A44 D	-14 NT 44471/055050
	PROVIDING ENVIRONMENTAL	)	Attorney Docket No.: 44471/255858	
	INFODMATION ON NETWORK	)		

## Certificate Of Electronic Filing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed v United States Patent Office via EFs Web on May 23, 2006.

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Notice of Allowability mailed February 28, 2006 included a statement of reasons for allowance. The reasons for allowance cite particular claim elements as being not taught or suggested by the prior art of record. In particular, the Examiner indicated that the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the elements of the environmental information providing system recited in Claim 40, and further indicated that the combination of the environmental information collection system with the ability to command a measurement condition setting update of all the sensors when one sensor measures a large amount of pollen to provide, for example as described in the specification (see p. 14, lines 10-18), activation of

Application No. 09/815,420

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance dated February 28, 2006

Page 2 of 2

sensors not carrying out measurement of pollen in view of limited battery power, is found to

be a novel feature of the claimed invention over the prior art as set forth in Claims 39 and 40.

Claim 39 is directed to a method for providing environmental information to users,

whereas Claim 40 is directed to an environmental information providing system. Claim 39

recites commanding a measurement condition setting update of all sensors in one of the

plurality of regions for carrying out a measurement from the base device, when at least one

environmental sensor operated to carry out the measurement in the one of the plurality of

regions measures a large amount of pollens. Claim 40 recites a corresponding commanding

unit. Claim 39 does not recite a first communication unit, a database, a processing unit, a

second communication unit, and a commanding unit, and neither Claim 39 nor Claim 40

recite providing activation of sensors not carrying out measurement of pollen in view of

limited battery power.

To the extent that the Examiner's reasons for allowance suggest or state that certain

limitations or combinations not found in the prior art are present in each and every claim

whether or not specific language to that effect is found in every claim, it is noted that "the

record as a whole" must be considered as a supplement to the statement of reasons for

allowance, and to the extent that the record is clear and complete, it shall control the

interpretation of any and all claims.

Respectfully submitted,

Brenda O. Holmes

Reg. No. 40,336

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP

Suite 2800 1100 Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530

Docket: 44471/255858

- 2 -